

available at www.sciencedirect.comjournal homepage: www.ejconline.com

Editorial Comment

PAH, genetic susceptibility and breast cancer risk: An update from the Long Island Breast Cancer Study Project

Marilie D. Gammon^{a,*}, Regina M. Santella^b

^aDepartment of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC, USA

^bDepartment of Environmental Health Sciences, Mailman School of Public Health, Columbia University, New York, NY, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 6 December 2007

Received in revised form

18 January 2008

Accepted 21 January 2008

Available online 7 March 2008

In 2002 and 2004^{1,2} we reported a 29–35% increase associated with the risk of developing breast cancer in relation to polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH)-DNA adducts measured in lymphocytes. Our findings from the Long Island Breast Cancer Study Project (LIBCSP) are based on the largest epidemiologic investigation conducted to date on this issue, and are consistent with smaller studies.^{3–5}

PAH are ubiquitous chemical carcinogens, mainly formed by incomplete combustion of fossil fuels.⁶ Higher PAH exposures occur among select occupations, such as firefighters,^{7,8} and coke oven, aluminum, and foundry workers.⁹ Non-occupational exposure sources include: tobacco smoke,¹⁰ charred, smoked, and broiled foods, and leafy vegetables;¹¹ wood and coal burning stoves;¹² and air pollution.¹³

PAH are known carcinogens in humans (to the lung, for example), causing direct genotoxic effects, or indirectly through oxidative stress.⁶ PAH are lipophilic,¹⁴ have oestrogenic properties,¹⁵ and have been shown to cause mammary tumours in laboratory animals,^{16,17} but the carcinogenic effects on the human breast are still not clear.¹⁸

The human body responds to PAH exposure through metabolism/detoxification pathways, but if the exposure is high or the metabolic/detoxification pathway is insufficient (in part due to genetic variations), PAH-DNA adducts are

formed.¹⁹ Persistence of these adducts occur because natural DNA repair mechanisms are inadequate, which again is influenced by genetic variation. The biomarker therefore reflects exposure and response to PAH. In the LIBCSP, cigarette smoking was associated with adduct formation among the control women.²⁰ However, we found that among those with increased exposure to PAH sources, the effect estimates for the adducts was not further elevated.² Thus, the biomarker appears to better reflect host response to PAH exposure, rather than exposure amount.

To improve our understanding of the observed link between PAH and breast cancer risk, our multi-disciplinary group is currently focused on estimating the effects on breast cancer associated with: (A) ambient PAH sources (including cigarette smoking, environmental tobacco smoke (ETS), grilled and smoked foods, and air pollution from vehicular traffic); and (B) inter-individual genetic variations in PAH-related pathways (including metabolism/detoxification, oxidative stress, p53, and DNA repair). The article by Shen and other LIBCSP colleagues reported in this issue²¹ is an example of our efforts in this latter arena.

Our research has been undertaken utilising the resources of the LIBCSP, which includes a population-based bank of DNA samples for 1052 women with and 1098 women without

* Corresponding author: Tel./fax: +1 919 966 7421.

E-mail address: gammon@unc.edu (M.D. Gammon).

0959-8049/\$ - see front matter © 2008 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

doi:10.1016/j.ejca.2008.01.026

breast cancer, for whom we have multi-level exposure data on PAH sources and measured PAH-DNA adduct levels. The LIBCSP was conducted in response to U.S. Congressional federal legislation mandating that an epidemiologic case-control study be undertaken to identify environmental factors for breast cancer among women on Long Island, New York.²² Our specific aims were to determine whether breast cancer risk is associated with: (1) the organochlorine pesticide DDT, its metabolite DDE, or the polychlorinated polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs);²³ and (2) PAH-DNA adduct levels in peripheral blood.^{1,2} In addition, whether breast cancer risk is associated with electromagnetic fields (EMF), assessed using multiple exposure measures, has also been examined in an LIBCSP ancillary study.^{24,25} Although some investigations have inconsistently observed elevated risks for PCBs among genetically susceptible subgroups,^{26–28} DDT exposure during early life,²⁹ and ambient EMF exposure,³⁰ overall, little or no effect has been reported in most epidemiologic studies focused on these organochlorine compounds (for examples, see^{23,31,32}) or residential EMF (for examples, see^{24,25,33,34}). Thus, PAH-DNA adducts are among the few environmentally related factors that have been consistently linked to breast cancer risk (An example of an established environmental risk factor for breast cancer risk is radiation exposure³⁵).

Below we very briefly review the epidemiologic evidence linking breast cancer risk and PAH sources, and possible interactions between PAH, PAH sources and genetic polymorphisms, with a special emphasis on the research conducted using data from the LIBCSP.

1. PAH sources and breast cancer

1.1. Active cigarette smoking

Active cigarette smoking has been inconsistently linked to breast cancer in population studies.^{36,37} More consistently positive findings have been reported in studies that consider: long-term ETS exposure,³⁸ including those from the LIBCSP;³⁹ or genetic susceptibility.⁴⁰

1.2. Grilled/smoked food intake

Population studies focused on meat intake, or meat doneness, have yielded inconclusive results.^{41–43} In the LIBCSP, we observed a 47% increase among postmenopausal women in relation to a lifetime average of grilled/smoked foods, that was more pronounced among those who also consumed low levels of fruits and vegetables.⁴⁴

1.3. Air pollution

Bonner and colleagues at Buffalo, New York⁴⁵ reported an odds ratio (OR) of 2.4 for the association between breast cancer and early life exposure to PAHs, using total suspended particulates as a surrogate. In addition, based on models of PAH estimates attributed to vehicular traffic,⁴⁶ this same Buffalo research group reported over a two-fold increase in risk for exposures during several critical time periods for breast cancer (e.g. menarche and first live birth). To the best of our knowledge, these are the only publications on this issue. In

the LIBCSP, estimates on historical exposure to ambient PAH have been developed,⁴⁷ and our risk analyses are underway.

2. Genetic susceptibility, PAH and breast cancer

2.1. Xenobiotic metabolism/detoxification

Results from two small studies on breast cancer have observed elevated risks among those women with higher PAH adducts and the variant alleles of several GST genetic polymorphisms.^{48,49} Further, LIBCSP findings indicate that smokers with the GSTA1 (AG/GG) genotypes had an 89% increased breast cancer risk compared to nonsmokers with the homozygous major genotype.⁵⁰ However, results from two recent meta-analyses differed, with one reporting no association with individual GST single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) as well as a lack of interaction with smoking, and the other meta-analyses reported a positive association with GSTT1 wildtype and GSTM1 null among smokers.^{40,51}

2.2. Tumour suppressor gene P53

Cigarette smoking as well as other PAH exposures have been shown to be associated with the p53 mutational spectra of breast tumour tissue.⁵² Common germline polymorphisms have been discovered within TP53, but have not been strongly associated with breast cancer risk among adequately powered studies (for example, see⁵³). Few studies have considered potential gene-environment interactions. In the LIBCSP, although we found no evidence for an interaction with PAH-DNA adducts, we observed a decreased risk among current smokers with the minor alleles for the IVS6 + 62 A > G SNP (rs1625895) and the IVS3 INDEL polymorphism (rs17878362) (OR = 0.49, 95% CI 0.27–0.90; OR = 0.42, 95% CI 0.22–0.78, respectively).⁵⁴

2.3. DNA repair

The nucleotide excision repair (NER) pathway repairs DNA damage from ultraviolet-induced photo-products, cross-links, and bulky chemical adducts, such as PAH-DNA adducts,⁵⁵ and the xeroderma pigmentosum group proteins are involved in this multi-step process. XPD (also called ERCC2) SNPs have been extensively studied, and the results for breast cancer are inconsistent.⁵⁶ For example, in the LIBCSP, we found a modest 21% increased risk associated with carrying one variant Gln allele at codon 751 of XPD.⁵⁷ Risk increased among those homozygous for the minor allele in relation to PAH-DNA adduct levels above the median (OR, 1.61; 95% CI, 0.99–2.63), and to current smoking (OR, 1.97; 95% CI 1.02–3.81). Another study,⁵⁸ with a similarly large sample size to the LIBCSP, found a nonsignificant elevation in the ORs among African Americans, but not whites, for the homozygous variant allele (Gln/Gln), and for smoking among whites and African Americans with the XPD 751 Lys/Lys genotype.

The base-excision repair (BER) pathway repairs ‘non-bulky’ DNA adducts, oxidative DNA damage and DNA damage attributable to ionising radiation.⁵⁶ SNPs involved in DNA glycosylase and BER core protein genes have been associated with cancer risk in humans, including breast cancer.^{40,56} Other

Multi-source/Multi-level PAH Results To Date



Exposure Source

- Vehicular traffic (GIS); Occupation; Home samples of soil, dust
 - Analyses in progress
- Tobacco smoke
 - 2-fold ↑ for long-term ETS from spouse
 - 40% ↑ for active/passive smoking & ER+PR+ tumors
- Diet
 - 60% ↑ for postmenopausal tumors & grilled/smoked foods
 - More pronounced for low intake of fruits & vegetables
 - Other food source indices (FFQ)
 - No association

Biomarkers

- p53 protein expression & p53 mutations in tumor
 - Analyses in progress
- Urinary/plasma oxidative stress
 - Little/no association with PAH

Biologically Effective Dose

- PAH-DNA adducts, detectable
 - 29% increase in OR
 - No dose-response
 - Associated with smoking in controls

Genetic Susceptibility

- Carcinogen metabolizing
 - 90% ↑ for GSTA1 & smoking
- DNA repair
 - 80-90% ↑ for XPD, ERCC1 & adducts
- Oxidative stress
 - No association with smoking
 - Adduct analyses in progress
- Apoptosis
 - 50% ↑ for FASL & adducts
- TP53
 - 50% ↓ for several variant SNPs among smokers
 - No interaction with adducts

Fig. 1 – Summary of the PAH-related findings to date of the Long Island Breast Cancer Study Project (LIBCSP).

than SNPs in the *X-ray repair cross-complementing group 1* (XRCC1), which have been inconsistently linked to breast cancer in population studies⁵⁶ including the LIBCSP,⁵⁹ few BER-related SNPs have been well studied.⁵⁶

2.4. Oxidative stress

Manganese SOD (MnSOD) is a mitochondrial enzyme that protects cells against damage from superoxide free radicals, and is encoded by SOD2.⁶⁰ MnSOD genotypes are frequently examined oxidative stress variants for breast cancer;⁴⁰ the summary OR, which includes LIBCSP data,⁶¹ was 1.5 among long-term smokers with the variant allele.⁵¹

2.5. Apoptosis

Alterations in apoptosis genes may affect cancer risk by influencing individual susceptibility to environmental carcinogens. FAS and FAS ligand (FASL) play key roles in apoptotic signalling and down-regulation of this pathway may facilitate tumorigenesis. However, breast cancer risk has been inconsistently linked to variant SNPs in this pathway.^{62–64} To date we are the only group to consider interactions between genetic polymorphisms in this pathway and environmental exposures; we observed a 36% increase in breast cancer risk in relation to PAH-DNA adducts only among those carrying a FAS1377 genetic variant.⁶⁴

2.6. PAH and multiple genes/haplotypes

Few epidemiologic studies have considered interactions among multiple SNPs in relation to breast cancer risk,^{65,66} particularly with regard to PAH exposures.⁴⁰ A number of recent studies (for examples, see^{58,67}), as well as data from the LIBCSP,^{68–70} show stronger positive associations with variants in xenobiotic metabolism, oxidative stress and DNA repair polymorphisms when considered jointly rather than individ-

ually. For example, in the LIBCSP, when we considered the joint role of multiple at-risk genotypes in GSTs,⁶⁸ we observed nearly a 2-fold increase in breast cancer risk. However, published studies utilising this approach are not consistent and several have been underpowered.⁴⁰ Thus, whether the association of breast cancer and PAH is modified by two or more interacting genotypes has only been infrequently explored.

3. Summary

PAH are ubiquitous environmental carcinogens that induce mammary tumours in laboratory-based studies. Although PAH-DNA adducts have been consistently linked with breast cancer in epidemiologic studies, sources of ambient PAH have not. Thus, whether PAH are associated with breast cancer in humans is unclear. As we have found in the LIBCSP, it is possible that a PAH-related effect on breast cancer is evident when measures of biologically effective dose are considered, or among those that are genetically susceptible to the effects of PAH, as summarised in Fig. 1. The long-term goal of the LIBCSP collaborative group has been to characterise the association of breast cancer risk with PAH-DNA adducts and multiple PAH sources, utilising a multi-level approach, and to identify the genetic profiles associated with PAH-DNA adducts, PAH sources and breast cancer. This strategy may help to identify subgroups that are susceptible to the carcinogenic effects of PAH on the breast. Our most informative approach to date has been to consider several SNPs within the same gene or pathway,^{65,66} as we do in the LIBCSP article by Shen et al.²¹ in this issue.

Acknowledgement

Funded in part by the following grants: U01CA/ES66572, P50CA58233, P30ES09089, and P30ES10126 from the National

Cancer Institute and the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences; BC972772, BC972005, and BC021366 from the Department of Defense; awards from the Breast Cancer Research Foundation, Avon Breast Cancer Fund, and American Institute of Cancer Research; and gifts from private citizens.

REFERENCES

- Gammon MD, Santella RM, Neugut AI, et al. Environmental toxins and breast cancer on Long Island. I. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH)-DNA adducts. *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers and Prevention* 2002;11:677–85.
- Gammon MD, Sagiv SK, Eng SM, et al. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH)-DNA adducts and breast cancer: a pooled analysis. *Archives of Environmental Health* 2004;59:640–9.
- Li D, Chang P, Zhang W, et al. Genetic and environmental determinants on tissue response to in vitro carcinogen exposure and risk of breast cancer. *Cancer Res* 2002;62(16):4566–70.
- Li D, Wang M, Dhingra K, Hittelman WN. Aromatic DNA adducts in adjacent tissues of breast cancer patients: clues to breast cancer etiology. *Cancer Research* 1996;56:287–93.
- Rundle A, Tang D, Hibshoosh H, et al. The relationship between genetic damage from polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in breast tissue and breast cancer. *Carcinogenesis* 2000;21:1281–9.
- IARC. Polynuclear aromatic compounds. Part 3, Industrial exposures in aluminium production, coal gasification, coke production, and iron and steel founding. International Agency for Research on Cancer Monographs on the Carcinogenic Risk of Chemicals to Humans. 1984; Lyon, France.
- Rothman N, Correa-Villaseñor A, Ford DP, et al. Contribution of occupation and diet to white blood cell polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon-DNA adducts in wildland firefighters. *Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention* 1993;2:341–7.
- Rothman N, Poirier MC, Haas RA, et al. Association of PAH-DNA adducts in peripheral white blood cells with dietary exposure to polyaromatic hydrocarbons. *Environ Health Perspect* 1993;99:265–7.
- Mastrangelo G, Fadda E, Marzia V. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and cancer in man. *Environ Health Perspect* 1996;104:1166–70.
- Besaratinia A, Kleinjans JC, Van Schooten FJ. Biomonitoring of tobacco smoke carcinogenicity by dosimetry of DNA adducts and genotyping and phenotyping of biotransformational enzymes: a review on polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. *Biomarkers* 2002;7:209–29. Review. Erratum in: *Biomarkers* 2005;10(2-3):218. Besarati Nia, A [corrected to [Besaratina, A].
- Phillips D. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in the diet. *Mutation Research* 1999;443:139–47.
- Lewis R, Fortune CR, Willis RD, Camann DE, Antley JT. Distribution of pesticides and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in house dust as a function of particle size. *Environ Health Perspect* 1999;107:721–6.
- Lioy P, Greenberg A. Factors associated with human exposures to polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. *Toxicol Ind Health* 1990;6:209–23.
- Morris J, Seifter E. The role of aromatic hydrocarbons in the genesis of breast cancer. *Med Hypotheses* 1992;38:177–84.
- Santodonato J. Review of the estrogenic and antiestrogenic activity of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons: relationship to carcinogenicity. *Chemosphere* 1997;34:835–48.
- el Bayoumy K, Chae YH, Upadhyaya P, et al. Comparative tumorigenicity of benzo[a]pyrene, 1-nitropyrene, and 2-amnio-1-methyl-6-phenylimidazo[4,5-b]pyridine administered by gavage to female CD rats. *Carcinogenesis* 1995;16:431–4.
- Hecht S. Tobacco smoke carcinogens and breast cancer. *Environ Mol Mutagens* 2002;39:119–26.
- Gammon M, Santella RM. RE: Environmental toxins and breast cancer on Long Island. I. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH)-DNA adducts. The authors respond. *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers, and Prevention* 2003;12:75–6.
- Santella R. Immunologic methods for detection of carcinogen-DNA damage in humans. *Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention* 1999;8:733–9.
- Shantakumar S, Gammon MD, Eng SM, et al. Residential environmental exposures and other characteristics associated with detectable PAH-DNA adducts in peripheral mononuclear cells in a population-based sample of adult females. *J Expo Anal Environ Epidemiol* 2005;15:482–90.
- Shen J, Gammon MD, Terry MB, et al. Xeroderma pigmentosum complementation group C genotypes/diplotypes play no independent or interaction role with polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons-DNA adducts for breast cancer risk. *Eur J Cancer* 2008;44:710–7.
- Gammon MD, Neugut AI, Santella RM, et al. The Long Island Breast Cancer Study Project: Description of a multi-institutional collaboration to identify environmental risk factors for breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Research and Treatment* 2002;74:235–54.
- Gammon MD, Wolff MS, Neugut AI, et al. Environmental toxins and breast cancer on Long Island. II. Organochlorine compounds. *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers and Prevention* 2002;11:686–97.
- Schoenfeld ER, O'Leary ES, Henderson K, et al. Electromagnetic fields and breast cancer on Long Island: A case-control study. *American Journal of Epidemiology* 2003;158:47–58.
- Kabat GC, O'Leary ES, Schoenfeld ER, et al. Electric blanket use and breast cancer on Long Island. *Epidemiology* 2003;14:514–20.
- Li Y, Millikan RC, Bell DA, et al. Polychlorinated biphenyls, cytochrome P450 1A1 (CYP1A1) polymorphisms, and breast cancer risk among African American women and white women in North Carolina: a population-based case-control study. *Breast Cancer Res* 2005;7:R12–8.
- Laden F, Ishibe N, Hankinson SE, et al. Polychlorinated biphenyls, cytochrome P450 1A1, and breast cancer risk in the Nurses' Health Study. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2002;11:1560–5.
- Moysich KB, Shields PG, Freudenheim JL, et al. Polychlorinated biphenyls, cytochrome P4501A1 polymorphism, and postmenopausal breast cancer risk. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 1999;8:41–4.
- Cohn BA, Wolff MS, Cirillo PM, Sholtz RI. DDT and breast cancer in young women: new data on the significance of age at exposure. *Environ Health Perspect* 2007;115:1406–14.
- Kliukiene J, Tynes T, Andersen A. Residential and occupational exposures to 50-Hz magnetic fields and breast cancer in women: a population-based study. *Am J Epidemiol* 2004;159:852–61.
- Laden F, Hankinson SE, Wolff MS, et al. Plasma organochlorine levels and the risk of breast cancer: an extended follow-up in the Nurses' Health Study. *Int J Cancer* 2001;91:568–74.
- Wolff MS, Berkowitz GS, Brower S, et al. Organochlorine exposures and breast cancer risk in New York City women. *Environ Res* 2000;84:151–61.
- London SJ, Pogoda JM, Hwang KL, et al. Residential magnetic field exposure and breast cancer risk: a nested case-control

- study from a multiethnic cohort in Los Angeles County, California. *Am J Epidemiol* 2003;15:158.
34. Davis S, Mirick DK. Residential magnetic fields, medication use, and the risk of breast cancer. *Epidemiology* 2007;18:266–9.
35. John EM, Kelsey JL. Radiation and other environmental exposures and breast cancer. *Epidemiol Rev* 1993;15:157–62.
36. Reynolds P, Hurley S, Goldberg DE, et al. Active smoking, household passive smoking, and breast cancer: evidence from the California Teachers Study. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2004;96:29–37.
37. Collaborative Group on Hormonal Factors. Alcohol, tobacco and breast cancer – collaborative reanalysis of individual data from 53 epidemiological studies, including 58,515 women with breast cancer and 95,067 women without the disease. *Br J Cancer* 2002; 87:1234–45.
38. Terry P, Roahn TE. Cigarette smoking and the risk of breast cancer in women: a review of the literature. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2002;11:953–71.
39. Gammon MD, Eng SM, Teitelbaum SL, et al. Environmental tobacco smoke and breast cancer incidence. *Environmental Research* 2004;96:176–85.
40. Terry P, Gorman M. Is the association between cigarette smoking and breast cancer modified by genotype? A review of epidemiologic studies and meta-analysis. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2006;15:602–11.
41. Dai Q, Shu XO, Jin F, Gao YT, Ruan ZX, Zheng W. Consumption of animal foods, cooking methods, and risk of breast cancer. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2002;11:801–8.
42. Holmes M, Colditz GA, Hunter DJ, et al. Meat, fish and egg intake and risk of breast cancer. *Int J Cancer* 2003;104:221–7.
43. Zheng W, Gustafson DR, Sinha R, et al. Well-done meat intake and the risk of breast cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 1998;90:1724–9.
44. Steck S, Gaudet MM, Eng SM, et al. Cooked meat and breast cancer risk – lifetime versus recent dietary intake. *Epidemiology* 2007;18:373–82.
45. Bonner M, Han D, Nie J, et al. Breast cancer risk and exposure in early life to polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons using total suspended particulates as a proxy measure. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2005;14:53–60.
46. Nie J, Beyea J, Bonner MR, et al. Exposure to traffic emissions throughout life and risk of breast cancer: the Western New York Exposures and Breast Cancer (WEB) study. *Cancer Causes Control* 2007;18:947–55.
47. Beyea J, Hatch M, Stellman SD, et al. Validation and calibration of a model used to reconstruct historical exposure to polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons for use in epidemiologic studies. *Environ Health Perspect* 2006;114:1053–8.
48. Xiong P, Bondy ML, Li D, et al. Sensitivity to benzo(a)pyrene diol-epoxide associated with risk of breast cancer in young women and modulation by glutathione S-transferase polymorphisms: a case-control study. *Cancer Res* 2001;61:8465–9.
49. Rundle A, Tang D, Mooney L, Grumet S, Perera F. The interaction between alcohol consumption and GSTM1 genotype on polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon-DNA adduct levels in breast tissue. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2003;12:911–4.
50. Ahn J, Gammon MD, Santella RM, et al. Effects of Glutathione S-transferase A1 (GSTA1) genotype and potential modifiers on breast cancer risk. *Carcinogenesis* 2006;27:1876–82.
51. Vogl FD, Taioli E, Maugeard C, et al. Glutathione S-transferases M1, T1, and P1 and breast cancer: a pooled analysis. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2004;13:1473–9.
52. Conway K, Edmiston SN, Cui L, et al. Prevalence and spectrum of p53 mutations associated with smoking in breast cancer. *Cancer Res* 2002;62:1987–95.
53. Sprague BL, Trentham-Dietz A, Garcia-Closas M, et al. Genetic variation in TP53 and risk of breast cancer in a population-based case control study. *Carcinogenesis* 2007;28:1680–6.
54. Gaudet MM, Gammon MD, Bensen J, et al. Genetic variation of TP53, PAH-related exposures, and breast cancer risk among women on Long Island, New York. *Breast Cancer Research Treatment* 2007. Epub ahead of print.
55. Braithwaite E, Wu X, Wang Z. Repair of DNA lesions induced by polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in human cell-free extracts: involvement of two excision repair mechanisms in vitro. *Carcinogenesis* 1998;19:1239–46.
56. Goode E, Ulrich CM, Potter JD. Polymorphisms in DNA repair genes and associations with cancer risk. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2002;11:1513–30.
57. Terry M, Gammon MD, Zhang FF, et al. Polymorphism in the DNA repair gene XPD, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon-DNA adducts, cigarette smoking and breast cancer risk. et al. *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers and Prevention* 2004;13:2053–8.
58. Mechanic L, Millikan RC, Player J, et al. Polymorphisms in nucleotide excision repair genes, smoking and breast cancer in African Americans and whites: a population-based case-control study. *Carcinogenesis* 2006;27:1377–85.
59. Shen J, Gammon MD, Terry MB, et al. Polymorphisms in XRCC1, PAH-DNA adducts, cigarette smoking, dietary antioxidants and breast cancer risk. et al. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2005;14:336–42.
60. Ambrosone C, Freudenheim JL, Thompson PA, et al. Manganese superoxide dismutase (MnSOD) genetic polymorphisms, dietary antioxidants, and risk of breast cancer. *Cancer Res* 1999;59:602–6.
61. Gaudet M, Gammon MD, Santella RM, et al. MnSOD Val-9Ala genotype, pro- and anti-oxidant environmental modifiers, and breast cancer among women on Long Island, New York. *Cancer Causes and Control* 2005;16:1225–34.
62. Zhang B, Sun T, Xue L, et al. Functional polymorphisms in FAS and FASL contribute to increased apoptosis of tumor infiltration lymphocytes and risk of breast cancer. *Carcinogenesis* 2007;28:1067–73.
63. Krippel P, Langsenlehner U, Renner W, Köppel H, Samonigg H. Re: polymorphisms of death pathway genes FAS and FASL in esophageal squamous-cell carcinoma. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2004;96:1478–9.
64. Crew KD, Gammon MD, Terry MB, et al. Genetic polymorphisms in the apoptosis-associated genes FAS and FASL and breast cancer risk. *Carcinogenesis* 2007;28(12):2548–51.
65. Crawford D, Nickerson DA. Definition and clinical importance of haplotypes. *Annu Rev Med* 2005;56:303–20.
66. Rosenberg P, Che A, Chen BE. Multiple hypothesis testing strategies for genetic case-control association studies. *Stat Med*. 2006;25:3134–49.
67. Lee K, Park SK, Kim SU, et al. N-acetyltransferase (NAT1, NAT2) and glutathione S-transferase (GSTM1, GSTT1) polymorphisms in breast cancer. *Cancer Lett* 2003;196:179–86.
68. Steck S, Gaudet MM, Britton JA, et al. Interactions among GSTM1, GSTT1 and GSTP1 polymorphisms, cruciferous vegetable intake, and breast cancer risk. *Carcinogenesis* 2007;28:1954–9.
69. Crew K, Gammon MD, Terry MB, et al. Polymorphisms in nucleotide excision repair genes, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon-DNA adducts, and breast cancer risk. *Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention* 2007;16:2033–41.
70. Rossner Jr P, Terry MB, Gammon MD, et al. OGG1 polymorphisms and breast cancer risk. *Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention* 2006;15:811–5.